A Better Box

The previous exercise demonstrated how to define Classes, and create and operate on class instances. Each new class C is considered a new type: each instance of C (i.e., object constructed from C) is a value of type C and the methods are the operations that can be used to manipulate these values. For consistency, we would like to use standard Python functions and operators with values of a new type. This exercise shows how this is done in Python using the concepts of overloading and introspection.

Part (a): Python uses mapping rules to rewrite calls of standard functions (e.g., \texttt{str}) and operators (e.g., + and *) as calls on methods with special names.\textsuperscript{1} If a class contains definitions for methods with these names, then Python uses the definitions to overload the corresponding functions and operators.

In this part of this exercise, you will make the Box class from the last exercise easier to use by overloading some standard functions and operators.

1. Download \texttt{box.py}. Load it into Spyder and run it. Discuss with your partner what statement in the program produces each line displayed in the console.

   \textbf{Q:} Why do \texttt{str(b1)} and \texttt{b1} print exactly the same and \texttt{str(b2)} and \texttt{b2} print exactly the same?

   \textbf{Q:} Why do \texttt{b1}, \texttt{b2}, \texttt{b3}, and \texttt{b4} all print differently?

   Run the program a second time.

   \textbf{Q:} What differences do you notice in the output produced by the two runs?

   \textbf{Q:} What do you think accounts for these differences?

2. To simplify printing instances of a type that you define, Python provides the following mapping rule:

   Mapping Rule for \texttt{str(exp)}: \textit{If the type of \texttt{exp} is not a built-in Python type, then Python maps \texttt{str(exp)} to \texttt{exp.__str__()}).

   For example, if \texttt{b} is an instance of Box, then Python maps \texttt{str(b)} to \texttt{b.__str__()}.

   Because of this rule, you can overload the \texttt{str} function by defining a method named \texttt{__str__} in the Box class. This method must have a single parameter (\texttt{self}) and it must return a string (the string you want Python to print).

\textsuperscript{1} The names all start and end with two underscores (e.g., ‘\_’).
Your `Box` class already defines a method that meets these requirements: `getStr`. Change the name of `getStr` to `__str__`. By defining a method called `__str__`, you are overloading the `str` function. Printing `str(exp)` should now print the string returned by `exp.__str__()`. Rerun the program and check that it now prints `Box` instances as you expect.

3. Evaluate `b1` in the console. This experiment shows that Python does not determine how to display an object in the console in the same way that it determines how to print the object.

To print `exp`, Python calls `str(exp)` and prints the string that is returned `without` the string delimiters. But to display an object in the console, it instead calls `repr(exp)` and displays the string that is returned `without` the string delimiters.

For example:

```
In [24]: repr(5)
Out[24]: '5'

In [25]: 5
Out[25]: 5

In [26]: repr('hi')
Out[26]: ''hi''

In [27]: 'hi'
Out[27]: 'hi'
```

So to change how instances of a new type are displayed when evaluated in the console, you need to overload the `repr` function.

The mapping rule for `repr(exp)` is: *If the type of `exp` is not a built-in Python type, then Python maps `repr(exp)` to `exp.__repr__()`.*

Because of this rule, you can overload the `repr` function by defining a method named `__repr__` in the `Box` class. This method must have a single parameter (`self`) and it must return a string.

Add a `__repr__` method to the `Box` class. Have it return the same string as `__str__`. But be smart about it: *don't copy the body of the `__str__` method*; instead, call the existing method!

Test it out by re-running your program and then again evaluating `b1` in the console. Also evaluate both `str(b1)` and `repr(b1)` in the console. Why do `str(b1)` and `repr(b1)` both display with quotes around them, but `b1` does not?
4. Next you will overload the + operator. But first, evaluate \( b_1 + b_2 \) in the console to see that it produces a **TypeError**.

*Overloading a binary operator can require two mappings: a “normal” mapping and a “reverse” mapping. Python uses the normal mapping for a binary operator unless evaluating the mapped expression produces an exception, in which case it tries to use the reverse mapping instead.*

The normal mapping of \( \text{exp1} + \text{exp2} \) is \( \text{exp1}.__add__(\text{exp2}) \).

For example, the normal mapping of \( b_1 + b_2 \) is \( b_1.__add__(b_2) \). Because of this mapping, you can overload the + operator to work with Box values by defining a method called \( \text{add} \) in the Box class. This method must have exactly two parameters. It should not modify either of its parameters, and it should return a Box value (the sum of the arguments).

We already have a method that meets these requirements: \text{add}. Change the method name to \text{add} to overload the + operator. Rerun the program.

**Q:** Why does the third assignment statement now produce an **AttributeError**?

Change this assignment statement to: \( b_3 = b_1 + b_2 \). Then rerun the program and check the output.

5. Next, you will overload the * operator using the normal mapping for multiplication:

The normal mapping of \( \text{exp1} * \text{exp2} \) is \( \text{exp1}.__mul__(\text{exp2}) \).

The \text{mul} method must have two parameters. For consistency, it should not modify either of its arguments and it should return a value (the product of the arguments).

We have a method that multiplies a Box by a scalar: \text{mult}. You can overload * by renaming this function to \text{mul}. Do that now. Then rerun the program.

**Q:** Why does the fourth assignment statement now produce an **AttributeError**?

Rewrite the expression in this assignment statement to use the * operator, rerun the program, and check the output.

6. There is a problem with our overloaded * operator: Multiplication should be commutative. That is, \( 1.5 * b_2 \) should return the same value as \( b_2 * 1.5 \). Evaluate \( 1.5 * b_2 \) in the console.

**Q:** Why doesn’t Python use the normal mapping with this expression?
Reverse mappings provide a way to solve this problem. Python uses a reverse mapping if executing the normal mapping produces an exception.

The reverse mapping of $\text{exp1} \times \text{exp2}$ is $\text{exp2}.\_\_\text{rmul\_\_}(\text{exp1})$.

For example, the reverse mapping of $1.5 \times \text{b2}$ is $\text{b2}.\_\_\text{rmul\_\_}(1.5)$. Add an $\_\_\text{rmul\_\_}$ method to the Box class. Define it so that scalar multiplication is commutative — that is, so that evaluating $\text{exp1} \times \text{exp2}$ returns the same Box value as evaluating $\text{exp2} \times \text{exp1}$. Be smart about it: *don’t copy the body of the $\_\_\text{mul\_\_}$ method*; instead, call the method!

To test it, un-comment the assignment and print statement under the comment # For use in Step 6 of Part (a), run the program, and check that $\text{b4}$ and $\text{b5}$ have the same coordinates and dimensions.

7. Un-comment the assignment and three print statements under the comment # For use in Step 7 of Part (a). Rerun the program.

Q: What does this tell you about the default behavior of the $\_\_\text{eq\_\_}$ operator when the types of its operands are not standard Python types?

To make your $\_\_\text{mul\_\_}$ operator truly commutative, you need to override $\_\_\text{eq\_\_}$.

The mapping rule for $\text{exp1} \_\_\text{eq\_\_} \text{exp2}$ is: *If the type of $\text{exp1}$ is not a built-in Python type, then Python maps $\text{exp1} \_\_\text{eq\_\_} \text{exp2}$ to $\text{exp1}.\_\_\text{eq\_\_}(\text{exp2})$.*

Because of this rule, you can overload the $\_\_\text{eq\_\_}$ operator by defining a method named $\_\_\text{eq\_\_}$ in the Box class. This method must have two parameters and it must return a $\_\_\text{bool\_\_}$ value (i.e., True or False).

Add a definition for a $\_\_\text{eq\_\_}$ method. The method should return True if called with Box values that have equal x-coordinates, equal y-coordinates, equal heights, and equal lengths; otherwise, it should return False.

Run the program and check that $\text{b4} \_\_\text{eq\_\_} \text{b5}$ now returns True.

Overloading the $\_\_\text{eq\_\_}$ operator also implicitly overloads the $\_\_\text{ne\_\_}$ operator. Test this out in the console. For example, what is returned by $\text{b4} \_\_\text{ne\_\_} \text{b5}$? How about $\text{b2} \_\_\text{ne\_\_} \text{b5}$?

Q: How do you think Python determines the value to return for these Boolean expressions?
**Part (b):** In this part, you will see how to use *introspection* to make your *Box* class more consistent with other Python types. But first, you need to become familiar with two Python functions that support introspection: *type* and *isinstance*.

1. **type**(*exp*) returns the type of *exp*. Your program can use it to check if an expression is of an expected type.

   Consider, for example, the following function definition:
   
   ```python
   def what_type (param):
       if type(param) == int:
           print('got an int')
       elif type(param) == float:
           print('got a float')
       elif type(param) == Box:
           print('got a box')
       else:
           print('did not get an int, float, or Box')
   ```

   Assume this function definition is added to the program and the program is rerun. Predict what will be printed by the following function calls:

   ```
   what_type(5)
   what_type(b1)
   what_type("hi")
   ```

2. **isinstance**(*exp, type-or-tuple_of_types*) is called with an expression as the 1st argument and either a type or a tuple of types as the 2nd; it returns *True*, if the 1st argument is an instance of a type in the 2nd argument, or *False*, otherwise.

   Consider, for example, the following function definition:
   
   ```python
   def is_num( param ):
       return isinstance(param, (int, float))
   ```

   Assume this function definition is added to the program and the program is rerun. Predict what will be returned by the following function calls:

   ```
   is_num(5)
   is_num(b1)
   is_num("hi")
   ```

   Check your answers by stepping through the Python Tutor visualization under the **Visualization** link in the artifacts section of this week's website. You will see the answers for what is printed in the print window and the answers for what is returned by the calls to *is_num* in the local namespaces created for the calls.
3. Armed with introspection, you will now revisit the overloaded `==` operator which you created in part (a). In the console, evaluate `'hi' == 1`. Now evaluate `b1 == 1`.

**Q:** Why does the latter raise an exception?

**Q:** For consistency with Python’s `==` operator, what should be returned by evaluating `b1 == 1`?

Your `__eq__` method can use introspection to make the overloaded `==` operator consistent with Python’s `==` operator. Python’s mapping rules guarantee that, in the body of `__eq__`, the first parameter, `self`, references a `Box` value. So the `__eq__` method should check if the other parameter is an instance of `Box`. If not, the method should return `False`; on the other hand, if it is, the method should return: `True`, if the two `Box` values have equal x-coordinates, equal y-coordinates, equal heights, and equal lengths, and `False`, if not.

Modify your `__eq__` method accordingly. Test that both `==` and `!=` now allow you to compare a `Box` value with values of other types. For example:

```python
In [24]: b1 == 3
Out[24]: False
In [25]: 3.1 == b1
Out[25]: False
In [26]: b1 + b2 == 'hi'
Out[26]: False
In [27]: b1 != 0.0
Out[27]: True
In [28]: 0 != 2 * b1
Out[28]: True
```

4. In the console, evaluate the following:

```python
b7 = Box('hi')
print(b7)
```

**Q:** What will be displayed if you now enter `b7.x`, `b7.y`, `b7.l`, `b7.h` in the console?

**Q:** What statement in the program raised the exception and why?

**Q:** What would be more consistent behavior with how other types work? (Consider, for example, what happens if you evaluate `int('hi')`)

Read the comments in the `Box` constructor. Software engineers use “Requires” comments to document expectations of valid arguments. In the case of a `Box`, all arguments should be numeric (`int` or `float`) and `length` and `height` should be positive. The constructor should check that these conditions are met; to be consistent with other type constructors, the constructor should raise a `ValueError` if it is called
with illegal arguments.

Using introspection, modify the constructor so that the constructor raises a `ValueError` when called with arguments that do not satisfy the documented requirements.

Run some tests in the console to verify that the modified constructor raises a `ValueError` if the user attempts to create an illegal `Box` instance. For example:

```python
In [35]: Box('hi')
Traceback (most recent call last):
   File "<ipython-input-35-7a8bda6b997b"", line 1, in <module>
     Box('hi')
   File "/Users/lauradillon/Dropbox/291-PLTL/Exercises/Classes/Advanced/boxSolution.py", line 13, in __init__
       raise ValueError (err.format(x_coord, y_coord, length, height))
ValueError: invalid arguments for Box(): hi, 0, 100, 100
```

```python
In [36]: Box(length=0)
Traceback (most recent call last):
   File "<ipython-input-36-5679330f5b92"", line 1, in <module>
     Box(length=0)
   File "/Users/lauradillon/Dropbox/291-PLTL/Exercises/Classes/Advanced/boxSolution.py", line 17, in __init__
       raise ValueError (err.format(length))
ValueError: invalid argument for Box(): 0 (length must be positive)
```
5. In the console, evaluate `b1 + 1`.

Why does an exception occur?

What would be more consistent behavior with how other types work? (Consider, for example, what happens if you evaluate `1 + 'hi'`.)

Using introspection, modify `__add__` so that it raises a `TypeError` if the second argument is not a `Box` instance.

Run some tests in the console to check that the operator behaves as intended. For example:

```
In [48]: b1 + 0
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<ipython-input-48-48292014ab0d>", line 1, in <module>
    b1 + 0
  File "/Users/lauradillon/Dropbox/291-PLTL/Exercises/Classes/Advanced/boxSolution.py", line 37, in __add__
    raise TypeError (err.format("Box", type(other)))
TypeError: unsupported operand type(s) for +: 'Box' and '<class 'int'>'
```

```
In [49]: b1 + b2 + 'hi'
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<ipython-input-49-678a65d73a28>", line 1, in <module>
    b1 + b2 + 'hi'
  File "/Users/lauradillon/Dropbox/291-PLTL/Exercises/Classes/Advanced/boxSolution.py", line 37, in __add__
    raise TypeError (err.format("Box", type(other)))
TypeError: unsupported operand type(s) for +: 'Box' and '<class 'str'>'
```

6. As time permits, modify the other operator definitions so that their behavior is consistent with the other standard operators.